## BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS' MEETING

## Tuesday, December 2, 1986

Present: Commissioner J. Patrick Jarboe, M.D., President

Commissioner Larry Millison, Vice-President

Commissioner W. Edward Bailey Commissioner Ford L. Dean Commissioner David F. Sayre

Edward V. Cox, County Administrator Judith A. Spalding, Recording Secretary

### CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order at 9:05 a.m.

## EXECUTIVE SESSION

Present: Edward V. Cox, County Administrator

Harris Sterling, Director of Finance Larry Bradley, Loss Control Management

Ted Weiner, County Attorney

Commissioner Sayre moved, seconded by Commissioner Millison, to meet in Executive Session in order to discuss a matter of litigation. Motion carried. The Session was held from 9:10 a.m. to 9:35 a.m.

### APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Commissioner Dean moved, seconded by Commissioner Bailey, to approve the minutes of the Commissioners meeting of Tuesday, November 25, 1986 as submitted. Motion carried.

### APPROVAL OF BILLS

Commissioner Millison moved, seconded by Commissioner Bailey, to approve payment of the bills as submitted. Motion carried.

### WEATHER WARNING SYSTEM UPDATE

Present: Richard Everett

Tom Oliver, Director, Emergency Operations Center

Paul Wible, Deputy Director, EOC

Mr. Everett appeared before the Commissioners to present an update of the weather warning system which he had presented in May. Mr. Everett stated that problem areas were discussed with members of the Southern Maryland Weather Network and there is now better communication. because of receiver problems from the Salisbury radio station, a station in Virginia now broadcasts St. Mary's County weather. Mr. Everett continued, stating that he would like to see lines of communication with the Naval Air Station because they set weather conditions as much as 48 hours in advance for the Base but not for the County.

During discussion Mr. Everett recommended that the County purchase a tone alerted weather radio for the Control Center at a cost of approximately \$100.

In conclusion, the Commissioners thanked Mr. Everett for his efforts and the service it provides to the community and further advised that Mr. Oliver should submit a request through regular channels for the purchase of the radio.

### CURRENT WEATHER SITUATION UPDATE

Mr. Oliver advised that high tides are expected around 2:45 p.m. and the National Weather Service is in the process of issuing flood warnings for St. Mary's County. With the winds and the above normal tides, there is a possibility of flooding in low lying areas.

RECREATION AND PARKS
PROPOSAL FOR ACQUISITION OF WICOMICO SHORES RECREATIONAL FACILITIES

Present: John Baggett, Director

Joseph Densford, Assistant County Attorney

Mr. Baggett appeared before the Commissioners to present a proposal for the acquisition of Wicomico Shores Recreation Facilities. He stated that the owners of the facility, Chaptico Recreation Corporation, would offer a Deed and Bill of Sale for the golf course, tennis courts, swimming pool, clubhouse, equipment, and land consisting of approximately 142 acres. The property owners request mortgage in the approximate amount of \$250,000 on the property. The County would pay the mortgage over a period of ten years only out of net profits received during that term. Should the \$250,000 not be paid in the ten year period, the balance would be forgiven. (A complete description of the particulars of this proposal is on file in the Commissioners' Office.)

Mr. Baggett pointed that that this proposal is a tentative one. The owners have been advised that in order to do this they would have to take the property over as individuals and then as individuals give it to the County. A commitment is needed from the County in order for the owners to proceed.

Mr. Baggett further added that should the County accept this proposal that the County would be responsible for paying for the telephone system at \$3,675 and for the purchase of a one-year old, 40 horsepower diesel tractor at \$12,291.63.

Therefore, Mr. Baggett requested the Commissioners to accept the proposal for the acquisition of the property as referenced above so that the owners can move to dissolve the corporation and take it over as individuals and then turn it over to the County. He stated that this can be accomplished within the next two weeks. Mr. Baggett further indicated that once this is accomplished that the County Attorney or Assistant County Attorney be given authority to accept a Deed for the real estate and bill of sale for the equipment, and authority be given for the President of the Board to execute a mortgage or agreement for the payment of up to \$250,000 derived from 85% of net revenue generated by the facility over the next ten years.

After discussion, Commissioner Dean moved, seconded by Commissioner Bailey that the Commissioners indicate to the owners that the County would accept the Deed, sign a mortgage and bill of sale under the terms and conditions as presented; and that the appropriate documents will be brought back before the Board for formal acceptance. Motion carried.

(COMMISSIONER BAILEY LEFT MEETING - 10:30 A.M.)

### ADULT DETENTION CENTER PROJECT

Present: John Norris, Director Sheriff Wayne Pettit

Mr. Norris and Sheriff Pettit appeared before the Commissioners to review the adult detention center project and to present the bids received for various aspects of the project. Mr. Norris presented a brief history of the project and displayed plans. Bids were solicited in three categories: (a) Building, Site Work, and Town Run Sewer; (b) Laundry Equipment; (c) Food Service Equipment.

Project No. SM 84-4-5 (Building, Site Work and Town Run Sewer)

Mr. Norris stated that four contractors bid on the project with R. R. Gregory Corporation being the lowest bidder at the base bid of \$7,261,000. Davis Corporation was second lowest bidder at \$7,409,000.

Total project cost for the jail with alternates, consultants, inspections, utilities would be \$8,386,000. FY '87 capital project worksheet estimated the cost to be \$7,923,000. Mr. Norris indicated that the amount provided by the State authorized by the Jail Loan Act is \$3,780,000 and that the project is \$100,000 short of authority.

Mr. Norris stated there were two alternative methods in proceeding:

- 1) Award base bid to R. R. Gregory Corporation in the amount of \$7,261,000 and provide other costs for sewer project in the amount of \$168,300. There is sufficient budget authority to make the award, but not sufficient for the Jail Loan Act authority.
- 2) Follow the procedure of General Services Administration and ask architectural firm with assistance from contractor to identify work items that can be modified to result in savings and that another public sealed bid process be conducted.

Mr. Norris indicated that he recommended going with alternative no.

After discussion, Commissioner Dean moved, seconded by Commissioner Sayre, to authorize Mr. Norris to negotiate with architectural firm and two low bidders to modify the project and have it rebid with the two lowest bidders, subject to the concurrence of the State of this process. Motion carried.

In addition the Commissioners acknowledged receipt of the bids for the Laundry Equipment (Project No. SM 87-4-6) and Food Service Equipment (Project No. SM 87-4-7) which will be awarded at a later date.

(COMMISSIONER SAYRE LEFT MEETING - 11:15 A.M.)

OFFICE OF PLANNING & ZONING

JOINT PUBLIC HEARING

ST. MARY'S BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS AND
THE ST. MARY'S COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION

2.

Present: Commissioner President J. Patrick Jarboe, and Commissioner members Ford Dean, and David Sayre. Commissioner Edward Bailey was absent and Commissioner Larry Millison excused himself from participation, noting that as a land developer, the subject matter of the public hearing scheduled this date could possible pose a conflict of interest. Staff present included: Frank J. Gerred, Director, Robin Guyther, Planner, Jeffrey Jackman, Land Use Planner, Jim Weber, Chief of Permits and Inspections, Phil Shire, Plans Reviewer and Anita M. Meridith, Recording Secretary, Office of Planning and Zoning and John Norris, Director, Daniel F. Ichniowski, Supervisor Engineering Services, Donnie Ocker, Engineer, Department of Public Works.

Planning Commission Chairman, Joseph M. Gough, Jr., acknowledged that a quorum of the Planning Commission was in attendance. Other members present included: Keith Fairfax, James Spence, and William A. Guy.

# ZONE # 86-1761: TEXT CHANGES TO ZONING ORDINANCE AND SUBDIVISION REGULATIONS

## ZONING ORDINANCE -

(1) Delete existing Section 40.03 and substitute the following regarding Stormwater Management:

- "40.03 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT
  The purpose of the Stormwater Management Ordinance
  is to consolidate the current Zoning Ordinance
  Articles concerning stormwater management into an
  Ordinance which will promulgate the requirements
  for stormwater management and define and assign
  the regulatory authorities of the Office of Planning
  and Zoning and the Department of Public Works."
- (2) APPENDIX I, Page 4 Schedule of Lot Dimensions
  Delete text amendment of August 1, 1986 beginning "On all roads classified as minor collectors or higher road classifications..." and ADD/CHANGE the setbacks and road access in the AR, R-1, R-2, and R-4 zoning districts to the following:

ROAD CLASSIFICATIONS	SETBACK	MINIMUM DRIVE SEPARATION
Major	75'	500'
Minor (a) High (b) Low	75 ' 50 '	500' 150'
Local	25'	No Limit

## SUBDIVISION REGULATIONS:

Section 4.03 - ROADS, under "A. General Requirements", add:

"5. Road Access, Driveway Separations"
(See ROAD CLASSIFICATION, SETBACK, MINIMUM DRIVE SEPARATION requirements above.)

Advertisement of this public hearing appeared in the Wednesday, November 12, 1986 issue of the "Enterprise" Newspaper and on Thursday, November 13, 1986 in the "Tide".

It was announced that the public hearing would be divided into two categories this date, in an effort to simplify the proceedings, commencing with discussion of proposed text changes to the Subdivision Regulations with regard to a schedule of lot dimensions based on various road classifications, followed by discussion of the stormwater management issue.

Mr. Gerred provided the background, noting that the Subdivision Regulations were recently amended in an effort to protect the citizenry, i.e. separation of driveways to minimize the number of lots having access to various classifications of roads. However, only after the adoption/change, problems arose which indicated that the regulations needed to be relaxed somewhat for the minor/major collector road category. Mr. Gerred continued that it was also discovered that there were roads classified as minor collectors which held the potential for future development as a major collector and thus these proposed changes to the Zoning Ordinance and Subdivision Regulations regarding setbacks and minimum drive separations for the various road classifications with a separation of minor roads into two classes.

Mr. Norris provided examples of various roads in the County that fell into both the high and low road classifications for minor collector roads. He elaborated on the progression of the proposed standards, emphasizing that the proposed text changes had been reviewed on at least three separate occasions with various representatives of all engineering and surveying firms in the County, who fully supported these revised regulations.

Commissioner Dean questioned whether the terms minor collectors high and low were specifically defined within the Road Ordinance. Mr. Norris advised that the verbage associated with those terms would be determined by the Department of Public Works and the "parameters around which would be defined." Mr. Gerred concurred that those definitions would be incorporated in the Road Ordinance and the "exact roads determined."

The Chair, President Commissioner Jarboe, opened testimony for public commentary (restricted to the first previously defined element of this public hearing, i.e. road classifications/subdivision regulations).

Mr. Joseph M. Gough asked staff to clarify whether these proposed standards would apply only to newly created lots or to existing situations. Mr. Norris urged that they would apply to all newly created lots, with the Planning Commission's ability to determine unusual circumstances/hardships and grant waivers. Mr. Gerred advised that a hardship provision was currently incorporated in the Subdivision Regulations which granted the Planning Commission the prerogative to waiver those standards in specific instances.

Mr. Eugene Rae favored the proposed regulations and asked how these proposed setbacks would correspond with the Planning Commission's existing policy with regard to private drives/access roads. Mr. Gerred responded that in those types of instances, the set-backs were governed by regulations contained in the local road category, requiring a set-back of 25 ft. from the right-of-way or 50 ft. from the center of the road, whichever was greater. Mr. Rae noted that there were cases pending before the Supreme Court regarding the unlawful taking of property through ordinances, real estate laws, etc. and he asked if these proposed regulations would likewise fall into that same type of category since essentially, it could possible deny an individual the right to use a certain portion of their property. Mr. Gerred responded that he did not believe that these proposed changes were similar to the circumstances covered by the San Diego Gas Company law suit wherein zoning essentially removed all use from a particular piece of property. He reflected that these changes were in the area of public protection of its facilities as opposed to complete diminuation of right of use.

Hearing no further commentary regarding the first issue, the Chairman moved onto the latter regarding stormwater management. Mr. Norris advised that the subject matter regarding the second aspect of this proposal (stormwater management) was proposed so as to consolidate current Zoning Ordinance articles into a single document. Mr. Dan Ichniowski of the Department of Public Works noted that the purpose of the proposed revisions was to consolidate from seven various locations in the Zoning Ordinance, the County's stormwater management regulations and secondly, to provide an ordinance, similar to the County's Road Ordinance, containing ordinance text, standards and specifications. Copies of the initial draft, titled "St. Mary's County Stormwater Management Ordinance" was distributed with second document which was noted as amendments/corrections to the major document, which were caused as a result of on-going evaluation/consideration of the document. Mr. Ichniowski urged that the proposed ordinance was similar to what was being accomplished in other jurisdictions within the State of Maryland and by local surveying firms who worked within multiple jurisdictions. He added that the proposed ordinance was also in accordance with the Stade Model Stormwater Management Ordinance.

Mr. Ichniowski advised that there were four major changes to the Ordinance as follows: The first would allow for a waiver of existing single family lots reported prior to July 1, 1984; the proposed ordinance would more clearly define the authority between the Planning and Zoning Office and the Department of Public Works relative to review and approvals; would lessen the approval and appeal process by one step. He explained that as currently written, the Planning Commission was the approving authority for all stormwater management plans with appeals directed to the St. Mary's Board of Appeals.

Under this proposal, the Planning and Zoning Office and the Department of Public Works would approve the plans and any appeal of a decision made by either of those two departments would be appealable to the Planning Commission, removing the appeal process from the Board of Appeals. Mr. Ichniowski ventured that this approval process would "get the approval process down to a level closer to an engineer...with details in the technical areas." The last change was described as relating to the standards and specifications portions, wherein the specifics of the submission would be required, and the review process and inspection procedure would be more clearly defined.

Mr. Ichniowski reiterated that the second document was the result of discussions held with engineering firms and commentary by the Department of Natural Resources, and were submitted as consideration for inclusion in the original document. Mr. Norris advised that the amendment document contained portions which were highlighted in red, which were given as the major changes. Mr. Norris elaborated on those changes and provided the background on each issue.

The Chair opened testimony for public input.

Mr. Gough offered suggestion with regard to the text on Page 14 of the amendment document, i.e. "In the event that the person responsible fails to take corrective action or cannot be given notice for corrective action, the County shall do the required work. The cost of such work shall be paid by the person who failed to take corrective action and shall be a debt due to the County." Mr. Gough felt that this could be interpreted that a subcontractor would be responsible and suggested that the text be clarified.

Mr. Gerred commented that while he agreed in part with the suggestion that the specifications and processing requirements could be pulled out of the Zoning Ordinance and placed in separate document, he nevertheless felt that the existing regulations within the Zoning Ordinance functioned well and didn't see the need to change the process. In terms of the '84 approved lot change, except when there was an identified problem in the downstream reach, he commented that this proposal would accomplish two things: Would eliminate a number of lots from being reviewed in terms of whether or not they would require some type of management control and secondly, it would encourage mass grading of a single lot, as opposed to the existing regulations which protected single lots by maintaining existing vegetation and hardwood.

Mr. Gerred advised that since the adoption of the Stormwater Management Ordinance in 1984, the Office of Planning and Zoning had processed over 1,600 permits for single family lots and that following all of the reviews and inspections of those properties, in terms of structures on the lots, only 100 were required to install some type of stormwater device. Based on those figures, Mr. Gerred urged that he did not believe that such a percentage represented a terrible burden, but rather represented a substantial effort by the County to maintain good storm water runoff off individual sites and that this exemption should not be included in the Ordinance, as proposed.

Mr. Norris offered his opposing view, noting that from a practical standpoint, the County must consider the real burden placed upon an applicant in having to bear the burden to provide a stormwater management structure. He felt that the more reasonable approach, and a more readily followed practice was to incorporate or group several sites into one structure. Mr. Norris urged that in order for the County to really make a dramatic impact on water quality, that the surrounding counties and jurisdictions should be mandated to follow similar policies and practices with regard to stormwater management. Mr. Norris emphasized that in all practicality, the 5,000 sq. ft. limit that was placed on lots would not be adhered to and that the County would not take those abusers to Court. He urged, "We are a sensitive community and we are being sensitive by letting the prior to July 1 '84 be waived, as we are in that direction with the existing..."

Planning Commissioner member William Guy injected that as a contractor, it was almost impossible to adhere to the 5,000 sq. ft. when preparing a lot for construction.

Mr. Robin Guyther, Planner, OPZ, felt that it was unfair to comment that the 5,000 sq, ft. restriction had had no impact. To the contrary, he urged that of 1,646 permits only 126 were required to install stormwater structures. Thus, he emphasized that the "huge majority of those people" had agreed to clear less than the stipulated 5,000 ft., a fact that was not just taken for granted, but followed up by inspection of each site to insure conformance with the regulations. As a result of this timely regulation, the environment was being guarded from haphazard development and pollution of its waterways. Mr. Guyther recalled that staff had recently discussed the possibility of perhaps raising the limitation to 6,000 sq. ft., recognizing that ofttimes the 5,000 sq. ft. was a little restrictive. Mr. Guyther emphasized, "We think that it would be more appropriate to raise that clearing limit than to eliminate the requirement altogether." With regard to elimination of requirements for stormwater management, Mr. Guyther urged that this proposal "flies in the face of the environmental clean up of the waters that has been promulgated for the last couple of years." In conclusion, Mr. Guyther asked Mr. Norris whether he anticipated any changes in staffing levels, if the Ordinance was adopted as presented.

In response to inquiry by Mr. Gough, Mr. Norris provided the definition, as proposed for "disturbing."

Discussion among the Board(s) members ensued and recognizing that there appeared to be a disagreement between the two County Department heads, Mr. Gerred attempted to offer what he termed a simple explanation of the disparity between he and Mr. Norris, i.e. OPZ felt that the subdivision and site plan approval process was inherent with the Planning Commission, whereas, DPW was of the opinion that the technical/engineering aspects should be delegated to the Engineering Office. Mr. Norris noted that the Planning Commission was the approving authority for site plan and subdivision approval which included stormwater management, sewer plans, perc test, soil conservation, sediment control, etc. Planning Commission Chairman Gough urged that in all of those areas, the Commission certainly looked to the Department of Public Works and other appropriate agencies (TEC) for their expert opinion.

Mr. Gough commented that hopefully, the Planning Commission would be able to formulate a recommendation on the proposed amendment to the schedule of lot dimensions at it's upcoming meeting of December 8, 1986, however, he was somewhat apprehensive as to whether or not the Commission would be in a position to issue recommendation on the latter issue on that date.

Commissioner Jarboe announced that the hearing would be closed, however, the record would remain open for ten days soley with regard to the stormwater management issue.

## ANNOUNCEMENT

Mr. Joseph M. Gough, Jr. addressed the Board noting that Planning Commission member Keith Fairfax had recently been appointed to the newly created position of Executive Director to the Commanding Officer of the Patuxent Naval Air Station. Mr. Gough stated that he wished to take this opportunity to extend congratulations and best wishes to Mr. Fairfax on behalf of the Planning Commission. Commissioner Dean reiterated congratulations and stated that indeed this was just a further example of the high caliber of qualified people that the County was fortunate to have serving.

### COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR ITEMS

Present: Edward V. Cox, County Administrator

1) PERSONNEL OF PLANNING AND ZONING REORGANIZATION

The County Administrator presented an Organizational Chart for the Office of Planning and Zoning and two memoranda from the Personnel Officer as follows:

## a) Reclassification of Inspector Position and Reassignment of Employee

Memorandum dated December 1, 1986 recommending approval of the Director of Planning and Zoning's request to abolish the Permits and License Inspector position and the establishment of a Planning and Zoning Data Management Technician at the same grade level (G-8). Further requested is the reassignment of the current incumbent of the Inspector position, Patricia Guy, to the Planning and Zoning Data Management Technician, Grade 8-6.

## b) Reclassification and Authority to Hire Office Supervisor II

Memorandum dated December 1, 1986 recommending approval of the Director of Planning and Zoning's request to abolish the Planning Technician position, Grade 6, vacated by Joan Curtis, and establish an Office Supervisor II position, Grade 8. Authority is requested to advertise the position in the local media.

After discussion, Commissioner Millison moved, seconded by Commissioner Dean, to approve the Organizational Chart for the Office of Planning and Zoning and further to approve the two personnel requests referenced above. Motion carried.

## 2) LEASE AGREEMENT FIREARMS SHOOTING RANGE

The County Administrator presented a Lease Agreement by and between the State of Maryland, Department of Natural Resources, and the St. Mary's County Commissioners for use of land as a firearms shooting range for the Sheriff's Department. The site, consisting of 12.1 acres, is located at the St. Mary's River Watershed Park, Site II.

Commissioner Millison moved, seconded by Commissioner Dean, to approve and authorize Commissioner President Jarboe to sign the Agreement. Motion carried.

## 3) APPOINTMENTS

Commissioner Dean moved, seconded by Commissioner Millison, and motion carried, to make the following appointments:

Comprehensive Plan Advisory Committee

Julia A. King

St. Mary's Council on Children and Youth

MaryAnn Ridgell for a term to expire December 31, 1987.

## 4) LEGISLATIVE PACKAGE PUBLIC HEARING

The County Administrator advised that because of scheduling conflicts with the Delegates the Legislative Package Public Hearing has been changed from Monday, December 8, 1986 to January 5, 1986 at 7:30 p.m. in the Circuit Court Room.

## 5) 350TH ANNIVERSARY COMMITTEE APPOINTMENTS

Commissioner Millison moved, seconded by Commissioner Dean, to appoint Jonathan Nelson and Albertine Lancaster to the 350th Anniversary Committee. Motion carried.

## 6) S.M.I.L.E.

The County Administrator presented correspondence addressed to the Board of Directors of S.M.I.L E. responding to the November 25 presentation and requests. Commissioner Dean moved, seconded by Commissioner Millison, to sign and forward the correspondence. Motion carried.

## 7) COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION

The County Administrator presented a memorandum dated November 28, 1986 from the County's Department of Economic & Community Development advising that the Community Development Administrator is offering to the County participation in a Rental Allowance Program which will serve qualifying families in the County.

Therefore, Commissioner Dean moved, seconded by Commissioner Millison, to authorize Commissioner President Jarboe to sign the "Intent to Participate." Motion carried.

## DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS

Present: John Norris, Director

## 1) ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT REPORT ST. MARY'S COUNTY AIRPORT

Mr. Norris presented a Grant Agreement between Maryland State Aviation Administration and St. Mary's County Board of Commissioners in an amount not to exceed \$3,944 towards the cost of an Environmental Assessment Report relative to an extension of the runway and Phase 2 site preparation at the St. Mary's County Airport.

### 2) TALL TIMBERS SHORE EROSION PROJECT

Mr. Norris advised that correspondence was received from the Army Corps of Engineers acknowledging receipt of the \$71,000 from the County as partial payment of the local share of the Tall Timbers Shore Erosion project. The letter further stated that the projected total contribution by the County is now estimated at \$146,683.91.

Mr. Norris requested that the Commissioners authorize the expenditure and direct the Budget Officer to identify source of funds and prepare appropriate budget amendment.

Commissioner Dean moved, seconded by Commissioner Millison to accept Mr. Norris' recommendations. Motion carried.

## 3) PUBLIC WORKS AGREEMENT MULBERRY NORTH SUBDIVISION

Mr. Norris presented a Public Works Agreement dated November 25, 1986 by and between Walter B. Dorsey and John R. Dorsey guaranteeing completion of Philip Drive, Brenda Court, Molly Court in Mulberry North Subdivision, Third Election District. The Agreement is backed by a Letter of Credit in the amount of \$103,000.

Commissioner Dean moved, seconded by Commissioner Millison, to authorize the President of the Board to sign the Agreement as presented. Motion carried.

## 4) PUBLIC WORKS AGREEMENT WILDEWOOD, NEIGHBORHOOD III

Mr. Norris presented a Public Works Agreement dated December 1, 1986 by and between Paragon Builders, Inc. and St. Mary's County guaranteeing completion of Aspen Lane and Alder Lane in Wildewood Subdivision, Neighborhood II, Cluster IV, Phase I. The Agreement is backed by a Letter of Credit in the amount of \$26,000.

Commissioner Millison moved, seconded by Commissioner Jarboe to approve and authorize the President of the Board to sign pending review by the County Attorney. Commissioner Dean abstained. Motion carried.

## PERMIT SYSTEMS REVIEW COMMITTEE

Commissioner Dean stated that it has been suggested to him that perhaps the Permit System Review Committee may need to be reconvened in order to look at the permit system. He suggested that this could be an appropriate item of consideration for the incoming Board.

## LEXINGTON PARK TRANSPORTATION PLAN

Commissioner Dean distributed a paper entitled <u>Funding Sources to Accomplish the Lexington Park Transportation Plan setting forth his thoughts on the subject for discussion at next week's meeting.</u>

## EXECUTIVE SESSION

Commissioner Millison moved, seconded by Commissioner Dean, to meet in Executive Session in order to discuss matters of Personnel and Litigation. Motion carried.

### Personne1

Present: Edward V. Cox, County Administrator John Norris, Director, DPW

Session held from 12:40 p.m. to 12:50 p.m.

## Litigation and Personnel

Present: Edward V. Cox, County Administrator

Session held from 12:50 p.m. to 1:30 p.m.

### **ADJOURNMENT**

The meeting adjourned at 1:30 p.m.

Approved

Patrick Jarboe

President