

**ST. MARY'S COUNTY  
BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS**

**APRIL 27, 1993**

**Present:**     **Commissioner Carl M. Loffler, Jr., President**  
                   **Commissioner W. Edward Bailey**  
                   **Commissioner Robert T. Jarboe**  
                   **Commissioner John G. Lancaster**  
                   **Commissioner Barbara R. Thompson**  
                   **Edward V. Cox, County Administrator**  
                   **Judith A. Spalding, Recording Secretary**

**CALL TO ORDER**

The meeting was called to order at 9:05 a.m.

**APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

Commissioner Thompson moved, seconded by Commissioner Lancaster, to approve the minutes of the Commissioners' meeting of Tuesday, April 20, 1993 and the Planning and Zoning portion of the meeting of March 30, 1993. Motion carried.

**APPROVAL OF BILLS**

Commissioner Bailey moved, seconded by Commissioner Lancaster, to authorize Commissioner Loffler to sign the Check Register as presented. Motion carried.

**COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR ITEMS**

**Present:**     Edward V. Cox, County Administrator

- 1)     **Clearinghouse Project No. MD930408-0319**  
        **Historic Preservation Grant**

The County Administrator presented the referenced clearinghouse project recommending that it be forwarded to the State with the comment that it is consistent with the County's plans programs and objectives.

The Commissioners gave their concurrence.

- 2)     **Old Leonardtown Wharf**

The County Administrator presented correspondence responding to State Highway letter of April 8 regarding the State's consideration of removing the old Leonardtown Wharf. The return letter indicates that the Commissioners have no objections as long as such removal does not impact on the county's public landing.

**Commissioner Thompson moved, seconded by Commissioner Lancaster to sign and forward the letter as presented. Motion carried.**

- 3)     **Emergency Operations Center**  
        **Assigned Parking**

The County Administrator presented correspondence dated March 19 from the Director, Emergency Management Agency requesting assigned parking for six spaces. County Administrator Cox advised that he visited the site with the Director, and that it was his recommendation, with the Director's concurrence, that four space be assigned parking for the EOC communication operators.

**Commissioner Lancaster moved, seconded by Commissioner Jarboe, to authorize the reservation of four parking spaces for the EOC. Motion carried.**

**4) Budget Amendment No. 93-32  
Procurement/Administrative Services**

The County Administrator presented the referenced Budget Amendment recommended for approval by the Director of Finance with the following justification: Funding needed for postage costs through end of current fiscal year. Additional funding requested in FY '92 was not approved.

**Commissioner Lancaster moved, seconded by Commissioner Jarboe, to approve and authorize Commissioner Loffler to sign the Budget Amendment as presented. Motion carried.**

**5) Minority Alliance**

The County Administrator presented correspondence congratulating the Minority Alliance on the occasion of its Seventh Annual Installation of Officers. The letter will be included in the Alliance's booklet.

The Commissioners gave their concurrence to sign and forward the letter as presented.

**EXECUTIVE SESSION**

Commissioner Bailey moved, seconded by Commissioner Lancaster, to meet in Executive Session to discuss a matter of Personnel (State's Attorney's Office Personnel), as provided in Article 24, Section 4-210(a)1. Motion carried.

**Personnel**

Present: Commissioner Carl M. Loffler, Jr., President  
Commissioner W. Edward Bailey  
Commissioner Robert T. Jarboe  
Commissioner John G. Lancaster  
Commissioner Barbara R. Thompson  
Edward V. Cox, County Administrator  
Judith A. Spalding, Recording Secretary

Authority: Article 24, Section 4-210(a)1

Topic: Personnel - State's Attorney's Office Personnel

Time Held: 9:25 a.m. - 9:42 a.m.

Action Taken: The Commissioners reviewed information regarding State's Attorney's Office Personnel and directed that the County Administrator implement the action taken.

**COMMENDATION**

Present: Robert Swiertczynski

The Commissioners presented a Commendation to Mr. Swiertczynski for his liaison efforts between the County and Warminster, Pennsylvania.

**PROCLAMATION  
NATIONAL CRIME VICTIM'S AWARENESS WEEK**

Present: Jeannie Copsey, State's Attorney's Office

The Commissioners presented the referenced Proclamation designating the week of April 25 - May 1, 1993 as National Crime Victims Awareness Week.

**DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS**

Present: Dan Ichniowski, Director

1) **Draft Comprehensive Solid Waste Ordinance**

Also Present: George Erickson, Deputy Director  
Ann Tremaine, DPW  
Debbie Miller  
Tim Bratton

The referenced individuals appeared before the Commissioners to present the Draft Comprehensive Solid Waste Ordinance. He stated that the County has been working with the Maryland Department of Environment on the document which was prepared by the firm of Gershman, Brickner & Bratton, Inc., solid waste management consultants.

Ms. Miller highlighted areas of the Plan and pointed out that the Plan includes descriptions of the following: solid waste management goals for ten years; responsibility; governing regulations; impact of economic and population growth; etc. Other Plan highlights include extension of landfill life with a rubble-only cell; regional composting project; recycling; and continued exploration of alternative methods of reducing waste.

During discussion Mr. Ichniowski referred to the proposed schedule for the finalization of the County's Solid Waste Management Plan which includes plans for the Commissioners' public hearing on September 22. Mr. Ichniowski reported that the draft Plan will be submitted to the State for concurrence as to adherence to state provisions prior to the scheduling of public hearings.

Commissioner Loffler indicated that he would prefer that the Commissioners have an opportunity to review the Plan and make comments prior to it being forwarded to the State. The Commissioners agreed to discuss the Plan at the Commissioners' meeting of May 11.

2) **Addenda to Public Works Agreements**

Mr. Ichniowski presented the following Addenda to Public Works Agreements for the Commissioners' review and consideration:

**Richneck Subdivision**

Between Amos Ripple and Board of County Commissioners extending the deadline for completion of improvements to May 1, 1994. Addendum is backed by a Letter of Credit with First National Bank of St. Mary's in the amount of \$55,600.

**Breton Bay PUD**

Between Breton Bay Development Associates and Board of County Commissioners extending the deadline for completion of improvements to May 1, 1994. Addendum is backed by a Letter of Credit with Signet Bank/Maryland in the amount of \$340,000.

**Commissioner Thompson moved, seconded by Commissioner Bailey, to approve and authorize Commissioner Loffler to sign the Addenda as presented. Motion carried.**

**REGIONAL TOURISM COUNCIL  
ANNUAL REPORT**

Present: John Simpson, Chair  
Audrey Davenport  
Betty Koehl  
Allen Kramer  
Burt Kummerow  
Marilyn Manning  
Joseph Mitchell  
Tammy Nagi  
Cindy Woodburn

The referenced members of the Southern Maryland Regional Tourism Committee appeared before the Commissioners to present the 1992/1993 Annual Report and the 1994 Budget. Mr. Simpson reviewed the marketing plan and budget including advertising for a total of \$12,000; advertising and promotional expenditures; and committee projects for FY '92-93 (including trade shows, brochure development, and advertising).

A copy of the report is on file in the Commissioners' Office.

**ANNUAL REPORT  
TRI-COUNTY RESIDENTIAL ALTERNATIVES FOR YOUTH**

Present: Michael Whitson  
Paul Enoch

The referenced individuals appeared before the Commissioners to present the 1992 Annual Report for the Tri-County Residential Alternatives for Youth. Mr. Whitson reviewed significant activities of the group for the past year which included a basketball game with Redskins players, lawn party, and Chaptico Race. He stated that plans for the future include a first annual retreat at St. Mary's College to look at how Tri-County relates to other agencies and to look at long-term planning.

Mr. Enoch reviewed the goals for 1994 (expansion of services to address the current shortage in child and adolescent mental health care; offering of alternatives for mental health treatment in the community, and improvement of the funding base). He stated that the TCRA Y will be beginning an initiative called "natural parents" which teaches behavior management skills in order to reunify families.

In closing Mr. Whitson and Mr. Enoch expressed appreciation to the Commissioners for their support and indicated that the program is operating well and is growing.

A copy of the report is on file in the Commissioners' Office.

**STATE FUNDING TO LOCAL GOVERNMENTS**

Commissioner Jarboe distributed a copy of an article from the April 17 Baltimore Sun regarding the legislature's approval for increases to local jurisdictions.

During discussion of whether there were actual increases to the counties, Commissioner Loffler indicated that he has requested the Director of Finance to provide a detailed explanation of where these additional funds went.

**OFFICE ON AGING  
OLDER AMERICANS' MONTH**

The Commissioners left to attend a lunch at the Elks Lodge with a group of senior citizens and to present a proclamation in observance of Older Americans' Month.

**ANNUAL REPORT  
TRI-COUNTY YOUTH SERVICES BUREAU**

Present: Sam Bauman, Director  
Mary Ann Miller  
Ann Emery

The referenced individuals appeared before the Commissioners to present the 1992 Annual Report for the Tri-County Youth Services Bureau. Ms. Miller reviewed the services provided by TCYSB and spoke to the impact of the Commissioners' proposed budget reductions. Significant activities included an official ribbon cutting ceremony at the Lexington Park Family Support Center and receipt of a Ford Foundation grant to help families get their children "ready at five" for the Carver Elementary School neighborhood.

By way of a chart Mr. Bauman reviewed funding sources and participation in the services provided by the Bureau and indicated that they are making every effort to get funding from the private sector.

A copy of the report is on file in the Commissioners' Office.

**ANNUAL REPORT  
TRI-COUNTY COMMUNITY ACTION COMMITTEE**

Present: Dana Jones, Director  
Lillie Lane, President

The referenced individuals appeared before the Commissioners to present the 1992 Annual Report for the Tri-County Community Action Committee. Mrs. Lane explained the purpose of the Committee and stated that the services provide opportunities to the community to help promote self-sufficiency. During discussion Mr. Jones highlighted some of the programs of the Committee including Headstart, community outreach, housing programs, etc.

In closing Mr. Jones expressed appreciation to the Commissioners for their support.

A copy of the report is on file in the Commissioners' Office.

**ANNUAL REPORT  
SOCIAL SERVICES BOARD**

Present: Ella May Russell, Director  
Helen Daugherty, Chair

The referenced individuals appeared before the Commissioners to present the 1992 Annual Report for the Social Services Board. Mrs. Russell explained that the department implemented the following during 1992 (including major program changes, welfare restructuring, family preservation program, furloughs, employment of contract staff rather than permanent state merit system, and continued involvement and leadership in community initiatives). Community activities (including work experience placements for Project Independence; establishment of a satellite office at the Family Assistance Center in Lexington Park; participation in implementation of the Family Self-Sufficiency Program; participation in the planning and development of a men's shelter). Included in the report were details regarding the Foster Care Program, Child Support and Adult Services along with revenue sources and how the funds are allocated.

A copy of the report is on file in the Commissioners' Office.

## **911 ADDRESSING SYSTEM ORDINANCE**

Present: Paul Wible, Dir., EMA (Chair, 911 Addressing Committee)  
Jeff Jackman, Committee  
Joe Cooper, "  
Phil Cooper "  
Mary Duke, "  
Andy McWilliams, "  
Mary Pat Pope, Administrative Officer  
Debbie Settle, Addressing Technician

The referenced individuals appeared before the Commissioners to present the draft Ordinance and Manual for the naming/renaming of roads and assignment of address numbers. During discussion of the schedule for adoption and implementation of the Ordinance, Mr. Wible stated that the proposed Ordinance will be submitted to the Planning Commission, which will conduct a public hearing after which the Commissioners would conduct a hearing, perhaps in June, with implementation beginning in July.

Mr. Jackman noted that although a separate Ordinance will be adopted, it will be incorporated into the Zoning Ordinance.

Ms. Settle described the purpose of the Ordinance stating that the intent is to ensure that there are no duplicate or phonetically same road names. She stated that all road names will have to be placed in the data base and that the committee will be working with the fire departments and citizens in getting private road names.

In closing Mr. Wible stated that more detailed information and the grid system will be presented during the 911 Committee's Annual Report on May 4.

## **DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND ZONING**

Present: Jon Grimm, Director  
Peggy Childs, Recording Secretary

### **1) Growth Allocation Discussion/Decision**

#### **GROWTH ALLOCATION DISCUSSION/DECISION**

Mr. Grimm distributed his memorandum dated 4/2/93 in response to questions raised at the Little Creek Development public hearing regarding the Hanover Run sewer line. The memo concludes from the resolution that there was no restriction on access to that proposed sewer line and that MetComm advises that the facility has been sized and construction for 500 or more EDUs of flow.

Responding to questions from Commissioner Thompson, Mr. Grimm replied that the underlying zoning is RL and the Critical Area zoning overlay is RCA. Some adjacent properties are RL with LDA as the overlay zoning. The applicant for Little Creek has requested that the RCA zoning be converted to LDA in accordance with the growth allocation process. Under RL/RCA zoning, applicant can go to 2 units per acre with public sewer; however her request, as originally submitted, is to go to 4 units per acre through the PUD process, if growth allocation is approved.

Commissioner Loffler responded that MetComm does not own the sewer yet, as it has not been completed, and said part of what the Commissioners are challenged with is the reasonableness of the request - is it our intention to go into residential areas and increase density and, if so, at what level? Also, what type of buffering is the County going to insist upon to ensure protection of the Critical Area, waterways and separation of properties? He said he thinks it is very important that we look at this as a design competition that is supposed to please us, that we

determine whether we want to use the growth allocation and whether or not the project will enhance our community.

Commissioner Loffler said these are all questions when somebody has a four-story, multi-family structure that's closer to the water than what's recommended; that's a powerful mouthful to try to swallow, he said, and personally he is not ready for that. He said he wants to see the Hanover Run PUD process completed before we move ahead to see what we can do after that.

Commissioner Thompson reiterated a question asked at the public hearing; i.e., are the Commissioners looking at the project as originally submitted or the changes submitted by the applicant since, in effect, the applicant has been given the time the Planning Commission denied her? Mr. Grimm replied that the Commissioners are looking at the original submission and what he would describe as some proffers by the applicant, based on the Planning Commission's concerns and their reasons for denial.

Commissioner Loffler stated that the changes are hypothetical and may or not be suitable, and he doesn't think the process means for the project to be vague when it gets to the Commissioners. He added he thinks the design competition is something that should be fully commented on, especially something as important as growth in our Critical Areas, and he doesn't think this project has had the full process and full comments.

Commissioner Thompson said she thinks the whole Critical Area process is very confusing, because the Commissioners could grant the LDA and something totally different could take place because they haven't granted the PUD - it is not exactly a logical progression. Mr. Grimm suggested that Commissioner Thompson was commenting on the process as a whole rather than just this particular application? She replied that she was.

Commissioner Loffler stated that applicants for other projects have talked to MetComm and were told that the Hanover Run sewer line is not accessible. He said he would think that it would not be in MetComm's interest to consume the capacity paid for by the Hanover Run project before they had done the buildout. Mr. Grimm responded to Commissioners Bailey and Jarboe that the Hanover Run sewer line is a pressure line and said Hanover Run planned to use roughly 300 EDUs, including the nine-hole golf course.

The Commissioners considered the three growth allocations projects individually. Mr. Grimm noted that the process has been that the Commissioners render a decision on growth allocation, following which the applicant is given 30 days to make the technical changes required by County and State agencies. The revised plan will then be brought back to the Commissioners for signature before transmission to the CAC.

**ISUB #91-0249 - McGUYRE'S SUBDIVISION**  
**(Single Lot Category)**

Mr. Grimm reminded that this public hearing was held on March 23, 1993. Staff and the Planning Commission have recommended approval, subject to technical modifications to meet the requirements of the Ordinance.

**Commissioner Lancaster moved for approval, subject to the technical changes being made; seconded by Commissioner Jarboe; unanimously passed.**

**ISUB #92-1113 - MAYDEL MANOR, SECTION 3**  
**(Minor Subdivision Category)**

This public hearing was also held on March 23, 1993. Staff and the Planning Commission have recommended approval, subject to technical modifications to meet the requirements of the Ordinance.

**Commissioner Thompson moved for approval, subject to the technical revisions; seconded by Commissioner Lancaster; unanimously passed.**

**CDSP #91-1717 - LITTLE CREEK DEVELOPMENT**  
**(Design Competition Category)**

Mr. Grimm stated that, following the Planning Commission public hearing, staff stated that they would support applicant's request for a 30-day deferral; however, if the Planning Commission did not grant the deferral, staff recommended that the project not be approved. The Planning Commission's recommendation was that the project be denied, based on the outstanding issues of the Staff Report.

**Commissioner Thompson moved for denial; seconded by Commissioner Jarboe.**

Having made the motion, Commissioner Thompson stated that, while the project has merit, the Commissioners must consider the prevailing character of the neighborhood, and said she was struck by a comment made at the Commissioners public hearing, "consider this four-story building." Knowing the neighborhood, she said she would have trouble accepting that and she just doesn't see the project as a benefit to the neighborhood. While there are benefits to clustering, Commissioner Thompson said this project goes way beyond what is existing there, and she also has concerns about buffering -these are the reasons for her motion.

**The motion passed by unanimous vote.**

**PUBLIC HEARING**

**VESTED RIGHTS**

Requesting a further extension of the deadline for development projects vested under Zoning Ordinance #78-43 to go to record. The requested extension is from May 1, 1993 to May 1, 1994.

Applicant: John Norris/Chamber of Commerce  
Present: Mr. Norris, Chairman, Govt. Affairs Committee, C of C  
Anne Marum and Charles Hayden, Chamber of Commerce  
Area Developers  
Local Citizens

Legal Ad published in The Enterprise on 4/7/92 & 4/14/93.

This public hearing was held as the result of a request of a March 23rd presentation by John Norris, asking on behalf of the Chamber of Commerce that the deadline be extended. Commissioner Loffler asked who is presenting the request, noting that the letter received from the Chamber of Commerce raises the question as to whether it is Mr. Norris or the Chamber. Ms. Marum replied that the Chamber did vote to continue the projects.

Mr. Grimm distributed a list of projects vested under CCRS Resolution 92-21, stating that it is not an exhaustive list - there may be others but, to the best of his knowledge, the list represents the projects involved. He added, however, that any action to extend the deadline would not grandfather any projects other than those which already qualify. Mr. Grimm stated the projects are in varying stages of the approval process, however the list mistakenly includes Eagles Glen - this project never received final approval and is not a grandfathered project.

Mr. Grimm said there has been no action on some of the projects but most of them have kept in contact with DPZ regarding their status and what they need to do to continue to be vested; some are earnestly trying to do what they need to do to get their permits issued, some are awaiting financing, some have plats being prepared for submittal and recordation.

Mr. Grimm advised that the Planning Commission did not conduct a public hearing, but did review the request. The Commission recommended that the request for extension not be granted and that the County look at some other way to comprehensively address vesting of rights for all qualified projects. Previously distributed to the Commissioners was a section of a model Ordinance which addresses vested rights. Mr. Grimm said if the Commissioners are interested in pursuing the model Ordinance, it needs more work.



Commissioner Thompson commented she thought the Commissioners have been very generous to this point, and last time she made a motion to do exactly what the Planning Commission recommended. She said, given their generosity, she thinks we need to take a much harder look at what is going on - if projects are currently trying to meet the deadline they should be given credit, but if others are not making any moves she doesn't think the County should continue to grant them additional time to be grandfathered this many years after the enactment of the new Ordinance, because it becomes an issue of fairness.

Commissioner Loffler opened the hearing to public comment.

John Norris stated the request was made because the Chamber of Commerce believes that the County must posture itself to be favorably looked upon with all the dynamics that are happening in the world today, and from that standpoint had made the request to provide some incentive for developers, recognizing the dual benefit to the developers and to the County. He said they also recognize that there are some concerns about the continuation of an extension policy and said the Chamber has no difficulty with some set of rules or guidance that would give credit to people who have done things.

Mr. Norris said DPZ has indicated that there has been enough activity and construction work done on some projects to vest the projects legally, but he and the Chamber believe the vesting should extend beyond the legal definition. He offered the Chamber's help to develop criteria for guidance. If the Commissioners decide not to extend the policy for vesting, he asked that they at least give the vested projects some small window beyond 5/1/93 to complete the process. If the Commissioners decide to come up with criteria for vesting all projects, he asked that it be done expeditiously and that the Board delay the elimination of vested rights for some window beyond that date.

Nancy Rogers, a member of the Potomac River Association Board of Directors, read a prepared statement in support of DPZ's and the Planning Commission's recommendation and against any further blanket extension of vested rights. She said there is no basis to allow a small group of developers to have the advantage of meeting an outdated Ordinance; there is no basis for County Government to underwrite the risk of an inherently risky business; and there was no basis to permit a select group to realize a financial windfall through the delay of normal increases in property values and assessments. Ms. Rogers said it is inconceivable that the Commissioners would permit this to occur at the expense of providing books and supplies to our schools and health and social services for our needy.

Bob Boxwell, President, Friends of the Chesapeake, registered their strong disapproval of any further extension; he said there have been too many extensions granted and there is no reason to believe this will be the final request. He said past extensions have kept these projects off of the tax rolls and discriminated against everyone who pays property tax. He asked what is the point of having a Planning & Zoning Office and issuing permits if the contract is not enforced?

Larry O'Brien read a letter previously sent to DPZ on behalf of the Essex South Development, stating that they have \$552,800 worth of stormwater management and other construction in the ground for Sections 4B and 4C, representing 20% of the total project, a substantial financial investment. He said the project is in receivership and it has been impossible for the developer to move forward on this project; progress cannot be made until the receiver, Attorney Joe Densford, finds a buyer. Considering the circumstances and the substantial investment in infrastructure, Mr. O'Brien requested an indefinite extension or a minimum of one year from the date of transfer to a new purchaser.

Mr. O'Brien commented that the County has an interest in providing affordable housing, and said what drives housing costs up is getting and preparing land for building. He said he really thinks that, if these projects lose their approval status, costs will go up. He said it is already a difficult market, and he doesn't think this is the atmosphere we want to jump to especially when we are getting ready to move people into the County. In addition, he said there seems to be a misconception that developers are realizing a big windfall, and he doesn't think anyone who has

been in the building, real estate, or development business for the last three years will be going around boasting about windfalls.

Vernon Gray, Chairman, Alliance of Concerned Taxpayers, summarized his 4/23/93 letter by saying the Alliance is opposed to the extension as requested, but said he believes a 60-90 day window is not asking too much. He said they believe the change in land-use rules is no longer a valid reason for an extension, after three years, and that the Commissioners have been more than generous. Mr. Gray said vested rights can be secured by filing the plats, and the extension appears to be a contrivance to avoid increased property valuation and taxes. He asked what the extensions have cost the County in loss of revenue over the last three years? Commissioner Loffler replied the loss of revenue has been zero, because when you defeat a project, it's defeated.

Rodney Gertz, of Quality Built Homes, spoke on behalf of Heard's Estates, saying they have spent millions of dollars on this project and are in the 3rd phase; out of 114 lots only 19 lots in the 4th phase have not been addressed yet, although the paperwork is now in DPW. He said they have no problem with the new regulations and are working under them in other projects, but their water and sewer and infrastructure have been scaled and gauged for the whole project, and it would be devastating to them to lose the 19 lots. He asked if the Commissioners decide to look at the projects on a case by case basis, that Heard's Estates be considered.

George Erichsen, Supervisor of Engineering for DPW, spoke regarding the Lynch property, stating that this subdivision is substantially completed - it has been through TEC review process and received SCS approval and was ready to be approved a few years ago except for the provisions of the Lexington park Transportation Plan, where it had an impact on FDR Boulevard. Because of these extenuating circumstances, he asked that extended rights be approved for this project, adding the owner only wants 7 lots.

John Horton, of Hollywood, who served as a member of the Citizens Advisory Committee for the 1988 Comprehensive Plan Update, stated he thinks an extension would be a mistake, a violation of the planning process and a violation of the spirit of the Comprehensive Plan.

Mr. Grimm entered into the record letters received by DPZ from the following: Katherine Owens; William Wirth; Vernon Gray; Larry O'Brien; and Eugene St. Clair. As there were no further comments, Commissioner Loffler closed this position of the hearing.

The Commissioners discussed getting more information from DPZ on alternative methods of the vested rights process. Mr. Grimm stated the example provided to the Commissioners is rather lengthy, and he would be interested in something more concise. If the Commissioners would like staff to fine-tune that, he asked that the Planning Commission get involved. Commissioner Jarboe stated he would be in favor of receiving the Planning Commission's input.

Commissioner Loffler closed the public hearing at 4:00 p.m. The record will remain open for 10 days for written comment, with a decision to be scheduled at a later date.

#### **ALLIANCE FOR ALCOHOL/DRUG ABUSE PREVENTION**

Present: Walt Biscoe, Prevention Coordinator

Mr. Biscoe appeared before the Commissioners to present a application for a VISTA project grant to be submitted to ACTION. The grant will be used to provide three VISTA volunteers to continue the literacy efforts.

**Commissioner Jarboe moved, seconded by Commissioner Lancaster, to approve and authorize Commissioner Loffler to sign the VISTA grant application as presented. Motion carried.**

**EXECUTIVE SESSION**

Commissioner Lancaster moved, seconded by Commissioner Thompson, to meet in Executive Session to discuss a matter of Personnel. Motion carried.

**Personnel**

Present: Commissioner Carl M. Loffler, Jr., President  
 Commissioner W. Edward Bailey  
 Commissioner Robert T. Jarboe  
 Commissioner John G. Lancaster  
 Commissioner Barbara R. Thompson  
 Edward V. Cox, County Administrator  
 Joseph Densford, County Attorney  
 Rose Slade, Chair, Nursing Center Board  
 George Sparling, Attorney, "  
 Judith A. Spalding, Recording Secretary

Authority: Article 24, Section 4-210(a)1

Topic: Personnel - Nursing Center

Time Held: 4:25 p.m. - 5:30 p.m.

Action Taken: The Commissioners were given a status report on personnel matters at the Nursing Center and gave concurrence for the Nursing Center Board to proceed with the selection of an Interim Administrator. Membership of the Board was also discussed and it was agreed that an Executive Session be held on May 4 for further discussion.

**ADJOURNMENT**

The meeting adjourned at 5:30 p.m.

Minutes approved by Board of  
 County Commissioners on 5/5/93

*Judith A. Spalding*  
 Recording Secretary

7:00 P. M.

**PUBLIC HEARING  
 CONSTANT YIELD TAX RATE  
 FISCAL YEAR 1994 RECOMMENDED BUDGET  
 (ALL OTHER SPENDING UNITS)**

The Commissioners conducted a public hearing on the Constant Yield Tax Rate and the FY '94 Recommended Budget (All Other Spending Units).

A tape of the proceedings is on file in the Commissioners' Office.